



(Continued from the previous page)

not believe such a creature existed. If that were so, why would they believe in any number of other stranger creatures in the Bible?

Others would say that the word is Old English and no one today would know what it means. This argument doesn't hold up either. The Authorized King James Bible self-defines many of God's words, and the above verses are no exception. Not only that but, every dictionary consulted for this study had the word (1 across) defined, except for ONE. Every dictionary from the old English, to more modern versions like a 1977 Collegiate, Merriam-Websters Online, even Wikipedia Online list the definition of the Cockatrice. Wikipedia even lists historic encounters, and illustrations. Most definitions were similar to this one: "a legendary serpent that is hatched by a reptile from a cock's egg and that has a deadly glance."

Guess which dictionary did not have a definition for the (1 across)? The Smith's Bible Dictionary had a listing, but it said, "see adder". Smith's Bible Dictionary is published by the same company that publishes a popular modern Bible version.

It's obvious that they have written their dictionary to support their corrupted modern Bible version. Would you trust the Smith's Bible Dictionary for a serious word lookup? Why would you trust their Bible?

### **Modern Fossil Evidence**

One of the most famous fossils of all time is Archaeopteryx, which combines feathers and skeletal structures peculiar to birds with features of reptiles. This was discovered not long after Darwin's book "Origin Of Species" was published in 1859. The discovery has been used by evolutionists to show a transition bridge in evolution between birds and reptiles.

Modern evidence clearly shows all modern school history books and museums have been scrubbed clean to omit any references to dinosaurs or giants that may have roamed the earth since creation in Bible times. This information just doesn't fit with evolution theory.

It is terribly ironic that modern Bibles have been scrubbed clean as well, but no one even notices.

---

If Satan wanted to corrupt the word of God, where do you think he would start?

<http://www.concernedmembers.com/spiritualdeception.htm>

Free to copy or to reprint as long as all credits remain intact. All rights reserved, David Rhoades 2008

For other CrossWord Studies visit: <http://www.concernedmembers.com/crossword>